



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,163	03/31/2000	Carlos H. Morales	ADAPP137	2702
25920	7590 02/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			TRAN, ELLEN C	
SUITE 200	VAY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUNNYVA	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085		2134	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>įv.</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/540,163	MORALES, CARLO	OS H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN NO DATE (11)	Ellen C Tran	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N ute, cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	August 2004.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	· ·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Into-:-	ew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 09/540,163 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: amendment filed on 26 August 2004, with an original filing date of 31 March 2000.

2. Claims 1-24 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 14, and 21 are independent claims. Amendment to the claims is accepted.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 14, 15, 21, 22, and 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,834,326 (hereinafter '326) in further view of Long U.S. Patent No. 5,890,014 (hereinafter '014).

As to independent claim 1, "A method for verifying bus performance in a multiple initiator environment a first initiator" is taught in '326 col. 9, lines 13-42 ""The RAID controller will automatically determine the underlying network, the number of disk, capacity of the disks, block sizes of the disk, cache information, and other disk characterization information. Network information includes if the disk is directly attached and if not, the number of hops/delay from the controller";

Application/Control Number: 09/540,163

Art Unit: 2134

"implementing the method, comprising: generating a key data pattern including a key header and a pattern the key header including data identifying the first initiator" is shown in '326 col. 15, lines 21-67 "SCSI is an acronym for Small Computer System Interface. It recognizes hard disk and tape drives ... Caching is recognized Intelligent command queuing is supported. There are provisions for intelligent self-test by a peripheral ... SCSI is both a bus specification, and a command set for use of that bus. The SCSI architecture is illustrated in FIG.

9. The core of the SCSI idea is to give complete device independence to the computer ...

Devices on a SCSI bus are assigned a unique identification number between zero and sixteen (older versions of SCSI support up to only seven). Normally, the host adapter is given an identification number of sixteen";

Page 3

"writing the key data pattern to an echo buffer of a target; reading the key data pattern" is disclosed in '326 col. 8 line 65 through col. 9, line 43 "To improve the manageability of the system, the disks may be autoconfigured. Note that the autoconfiguration is independent of the actual data transfer using NetRAID/NetSCSI. Disk can be assigned a SCSI LUN and ID via the NeSCSI autoconfiguration protocol, which is the first step in the process. NetSCSI can operate over IP or raw Ethernet as desired by the user of defaulting to IP/UDP. Whatever transport protocol is used, the addresses of the disks are sent to the RAID controller and viceversa. The NetRAID control protocol will integrate these functions and will provide RAID parameters to the disk including RAID-level, strip size, and multicast goup. The disks will supply characterization information (capacity, performance metrics, etc.) back to the RAID controller for use in the autoconfiguration process";

Art Unit: 2134

0404

the following is not taught in '326: "and examining the key header to ascertain a level of communication integrity of a physical connection with the target, the examining determining a throughput capability of the physical connection" however '014 teaches "The I/O profiler examines each incoming I/O request and identifies patterns in the stream of I/O requests, in the form of an I/O profile. Identified I/O request patterns are identified to the device driver which determines if the internal performance parameter setting of the data storage device targeted by the operating system's I/O request are optimally configured to the outcome of the determination, new internal performance parameter setting are commanded by the device driver to the targeted data storage device, outside of the data stream so as to improve the target data storage device's data transfer rate performance and overall data throughput" in col. 3, lines 27-39.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '326, a multiple initiator bus that controls multiple devices to include a means to control the speed in which data is transferred. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification to control the speed in which data is transferred to efficiently utilize today's computer capabilities (see '014 col. 2, lines 64 et seq.) "Because data transfer speed has become the hallmark of efficient computer operation, there is a need fir a system which allows the individual performance characteristics of a disk drive to be optimized (tuned) to accommodate maximum data throughput a particular bands of data transfer sizes".

As to dependent claims 2, "wherein generating the key header includes: generating a byte 0; generating a byte 1; generating a byte 2; and generating a byte 3" is taught in '326

col. 19, lines 1-29 "Like the TEST UNIT READY, INQUIREY is called during the SSI initialization process and again dummy values are returened based on the reason discussed previousl. The data returned by INQUIRY is shown in the table below. It also show the dummy dta returned to the high-level SCSI code by the Net SCSI driver Byte # 0 Peripheral qualifier 1 RMD Device modifier 2 ISO version ... 3 AE- TrmIOP Reserved ... Vendor identification String Product identification string ".

As to dependent claims 3, "wherein the byte 0 is an ID byte, the byte 1 is a host ID, the byte 2 is a logical negation of the host ID, and byte 3 is a logical negation of the ID byte" is shown in '326 col. 19, lines 1-29 and '326 col. 14, lines 17-42 "A number of possible FEC algorithm can be used of protect any number of bits but the easiest is a parity algorithm"

As to dependent claim 4, "wherein the ID byte is a manufacturer signature ID, and the host ID is an initiator ID" is disclosed in '326 col. 19, lines 1-29.

As to independent claim 14, this claim is directed to the computer method of claim 1; therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

As to independent claim 21, this claim is directed to a computer readable media having program instructions for the method of claim 1; therefore it is rejected along similar rationale.

As to dependent claim 15, "wherein before the key data pattern is generated, the method includes: sending an asynchronous inquiry to the target device the asynchronous inquiry being configured to request a transmission of a valid data pattern from the target device and receiving the valid data pattern from the target device in response to the asynchronous inquiry; and sending a synchronous inquiry to the target device, the synchronous inquiry being configured to request a faster transmission of another valid

data pattern in order to negotiate an optimal throughput speed with the target device and receiving the another valid data pattern from the target device in response to the synchronous inquiry" is taught in '326 col. 19, lines 30-59 "The Peripheral qualifier specifies whether there is a device attached to the logical unit that was send the INQUIRY command. Device type code indicates the type of device attached. In this case, it is a direct access device ... AENC and TrmIOP are for support of asynchronous event nofification and Terminate I/O Process message ... Wide SCSI (Wbus16), synchronous data tansfer (Sync), command lining (Link), command queuing ".

Page 6

As to dependent claims 23 and 24, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 2 and 3; therefore they are rejected along the same rationale.

6. Claims 5-13, 16-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '326 in further view of '014 in further view of Hwang et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,339,599 (hereinafter '599).

As to dependent claim 5, "wherein examining the key header includes one of: determining whether the echo buffer returns an error indication" is taught in '326 col. 19, line 59 through col. 20 line 19 "The REQUEST SENSE command: Whenever a command returns a CHECK CONDITION status, the high-level Linux SCSI code automatically obtains more information about the error by executing the REQUEST SENSE. This command returns a sense key and a sense code ... The 16 possible sense keys are described below 0x0e VOLUME OVERFLOW";

the following is not taught in the combination of '326 and '014: "determining whether data of the key header has been changed; or determining whether the data in the key header specifically indicates a collision with data from another initiator using a same key header system" however '599 teaches "The present invention overcomes the noted problems involved in data networking and satisfies the above stated needs by providing a novel collision detecting method, at the physical layer, for use in a multi-point DMT communication system. The method of detecting a collision comprises transmitting a time mark at the beginning of a portion of a random access data communication, and transmitting a first ID signal representing ID data for identifying a communication station. The first ID signal is produced based on the time mark. Preferably, the first ID signal is transmitted between the time mark and a data portion of the random access data communication. The time mark distorted by a communication channel is received by the receiver of the communication station that transmitted it. A second ID signal representing the same ID data is produced based on the received time mark. The second ID signal is compared with the ID signal received from the communication channel. A collision event is detected if the received ID signal does not match the second ID signal. In response to detection of the collision event, the transmission of the data portion may be terminated" in col. 4, lines 22-43.

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of '326 and '014, a multiple initiator bus that controls multiple devices with a means control the speed in which data is transferred to include a means to identify collisions. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification because by detecting collisions early in the transmission cycle bandwidth can be

Art Unit: 2134

saved (see '599 col. 3, line 47 through col. 4, line 17) "Due to the nature of communications over existing wiring, it is difficult to physically detect a collision event when multiple devices transmit data packets at the same time. A typical communications protocol requires each packet transmission to be acknowledged by the receiver ... Accordingly, the transmitter assumes that no collision event happened during the data packet transmission. If the ACK is not received, the transmitter assumes that the channel impairments cause the data packet to be lost. A collision event caused by multiple stations competing for a shared network usually occurs at the beginning of transmission ... Thus, the collision event cannot be detected until the end of transmission attempt. The longer the data packet the longer it will take for the transmitting station to determine that the collision event has occurred ... The sooner a collision cabe detected by a transmitter, the sooner the transmitter can end the faulted transmission and stop wasting network bandwidth".

As to dependent claim 6, "wherein the determining of whether data of the key header has been changed occurs when the multiple initiators are heterogeneous" is shown in '599 col. 4, lines 22-43.

As to dependent claim 7, "wherein the determining of whether the data in the key header specifically indicates the collision occurs when the multiple initiators are **homogeneous**" is disclosed in '599 col. 4, lines 22-43.

As to dependent claim 8, "wherein when it is determined that the error indication is returned from the echo buffer, the first initiator being configured to rewrite the key data pattern to the echo buffer, the rewriting being performed for a set number of times before an adjustment is made to the level of communication integrity of the physical connection

with the target" is taught in '326 col. 7, lines 33-48 "For mirrored disks, all n packets may be multicast and each receiver will acknowledge ... One can easily image monitoring and statistical analysis techniques to active various levels of FEC when the error rate crosses a particular threshold".

As to dependent claim 9, "wherein when it is determined that the data of the key header has been changed, the first initiator being configured to rewrite the key data pattern to the echo buffer, the rewriting being performed for a set number of times before an adjustment is made to the level of communication integrity of the physical connection with the target" is shown in '326 col. 7, lines 33-48.

As to dependent claim 10, "wherein when it is determined that the data in the key header specifically indicates the collision with data from another initiator using the same key header system; the first initiator being configured to rewrite the key data pattern to the echo buffer, the rewriting being performed for a set number of times before an adjustment is made to the level of communication integrity of the physical connection with the target" is disclosed in '326 col. 7, lines 33-48.

As to dependent claim 11, "wherein the collision occurs when a byte 0 matches a specific manufacturer ID, a byte 1 does not match the first initiator's ID; a byte 2 is a logical negation of byte 1, and a byte 3 is a logical negation of byte 0" is disclosed in '326 col. 9, line 62 through col. 10, line

As to dependent claim 12, "wherein when it is determined that data of the key header has been changed, it is assumed that a collision occurred" is taught in '599 '599 col. 4, lines 22-43.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,163

Art Unit: 2134

As to dependent claim 13, "wherein writing the key data pattern includes: sending linked commands to the echo buffer to prevent the echo buffer from receiving data from another initiator, the linked commands being configured to link write and read commands and to disable a SCSI disconnection" is shown in '326 col. 17, line 60 through col. 18, line 11 "Control Field This byte holds bit flags used in linked command operations. If the Link low order bit is set, it signifies that the CDB is part of a linked series of commands. The Flag is bit is used to specify that the status code which the target returns on a successful completion of a linked command ... The SCSI standard includes mandatory and optional SCSI commands. In the context of NetSCSI, the important mandatory commands are Test Unit Ready, Inquiry, Request Sense, Read and Write".

As to dependent claim 16, "wherein after the sending of the synchronous inquiry, the method includes: sending a read echo buffer description (REBD) command to the echo buffer of the target, the REBD command being configured to request information regarding a size of the echo buffer and whether the echo buffer supports collision detection" is taught in '599 col. 4, lines 22-43.

As to dependent claim 17, "further comprising: detecting a data collision during the examining of the key data pattern received from the echo buffer" is disclosed in '599 col. 4, lines 22-43;

"and if a collision is detected, the method includes, re-sending a WEB command with the key data pattern; and the re-sending being performed for a set number of times before an adjustment is made to the level of communication integrity of the physical

Art Unit: 2134

connection between the first initiator and the target to the echo buffer" is taught in '326 col. 7, lines 33-48.

As to dependent claims 18, 19, and 20, these claims contain substantially similar subject matter as claims 2, 3, and 4; therefore they are rejected along the same rationale.

As to dependent claim 22, this claim contains substantially similar subject matter as claim 17; therefore they are rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Blumenau

U.S. Patent No. 6,263,445

issued 17 July 2001

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/540,163

Art Unit: 2134

Page 12

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran Patent Examiner Technology Center 2134 14 February 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100